



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/847,085	05/02/2001	Daryl Carvis Cromer	RPS920000109US1	7195
42640	7590 10/14/2004		EXAMINER	
DILLON & YUDELL LLP			JACKSON, JENISE E	
8911 NORTI SUITE 2110	H CAPITAL OF TEXAS	HWY	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AUSTIN, TX 78759		•	2131	

DATE MAILED: 10/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/847,085	CROMER ET AL.	Q-			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jenise E Jackson	2131				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addre	ss			
THE   - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutively received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from c, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed  /s will be considered timely.  I the mailing date of this committed  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
Status	•						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	nis action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)□ ∖	) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application	1.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.			×			
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b)  objected to by the □	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
+	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-	152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr  ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in Applicati	on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been receive	ed in this National Sta	ge			
	application from the International Burea						
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate	0)			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date	) 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152	<del>-)</del>			
S. Patent and T.	adomed Office						

Art Unit: 2131

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 7-8, 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pearce et al(6,484,308).
- 3. As per claims 1, 7, Pearce et al. discloses a method in a data processing system for maintaining security during booting of the data processing system(see col. 2, lines 5-10), during a boot process, interrogating a boot device(i.e. hard disk) for password information (see col. 2, lines 13-16); and in response to the boot device supplying password information corresponding to that of a trusted boot device, booting the data processing system utilizing the boot device(see col. 3, lines 38-67), wherein the booting includes booting the data processing system utilizing the boot device without entry of any of the password information corresponding to that of a trusted boot device by a human user(see col. 3, lines 38-67).
- 4. As per claims 2, 8, 13, Pearce discloses the password information of the boot device is used which is the manufacturer id, and drive serial number(see col. 3, lines 58-61).
- 5. As per claim 3, Pearce et al. discloses wherein interrogating the boot device for password information includes startup software interrogating the boot device(see col. 2, lines 13-16).

- 6. As per claim 7, it is rejected under the same basis as claim 1(see above). Also, Pearce et al. discloses a memory coupled to the processor for communication (see col. 3, lines 23-37), memory includes startup software(see col. 3, lines 35-37).
- 7. As per claim 12, it is rejected under the same basis as claim 1. Further, claim 12, discloses wherein the startup software causes a data processing system to interrogate the boot device(see col. 2, lines 16-20, col. 3, lines 39-51).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 4, 9, 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pearce et al. in view of Herzi et al(6,353,885).
- 10. As per claims 4, 9, 14, Pearce et al. discloses wherein interrogating the boot devices for password information(see col. 2, lines 16-20, col. 3, lines 38-62). Pearce et al. does not disclose interrogating a plurality of boot devices in sequence according to a priority order until a boot device supplies password information corresponding to that of a trusted boot device. Herzi discloses interrogating a plurality of boot devices in sequence according to a priority order until a boot device supplies password information corresponding to that of a trusted boot device(see col. 33-64). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include interrogating a plurality of boot devices in sequence according to a priority order until password information corresponding to that of a trusted boot device, the motivation is that

Art Unit: 2131

Herzi's system is that bios level settings can typically be modified by accessing a BIOS set-up screen on the computer system(see col. 1, lines 51-52 of Herzi). A system with multi-user environment, in which a boot device order setting has been changed or modified by a first user, the boot device order being different from a second user(see col. 1, lines 54-58 of Herzi). Herzi system is an improved method for providing BIOS level user configuration in a multi-user computer environment (see col. 2, lines 3-5).

- 11. Claims 5-6, 10-11, 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pearce et al.
- 12. As per claims 5, 10, 15, Pearce et al. discloses storing a password in non-volatile storage of the data processing system, inherent in Pearce because Pearce discloses a hard disk(see col. 2, lines 16-20); and determining that the boot device has supplied password information corresponding to a trusted boot device(see col. 5, lines 18-26). However, Pearce does not disclose hashing(i.e. masking) password information and comparing the hashed password information with the stored password. It is well-known in the art, to hash password information and compare the hash password information with the stored password, the motivation to hash password information is that hashing provides data integrity, because the hash-value is protected.
- 13. As per claim 6, Pearce et al. discloses obtaining the password by interrogating the boot device for the password information with a password-protected configuration routine(see col. 2, lines 5-20, col. 3, lines 38-62).
- 14. As per claim 11, Pearce et al. discloses the startup software including a password protected configuration routine that obtains the password by interrogating the boot device for the password information(see col. 2, lines 16-20).

Art Unit: 2131

15. As per claim 16, limitations have already been addressed(see claim 3 and 6).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jenise E Jackson whose telephone number is (703) 306-0426. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (6:00 a.m. - 3:30 p.m.) alternate Friday's.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on (703) 305-9648. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

October 5, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100